

REMARKS

Applicants have canceled claim 17 without prejudice or disclaimer and added new claims 18-24. Accordingly, claims 16 and 18-24 are pending.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) of claim 17 as being anticipated by Clark et al and the objection to claim 17 have been rendered moot by the cancellation of the claim.

Claim 16 has been allowed, however Applicants have amended claim 16 to add the labels to each of the subparagraphs of the claim for clarity.


New claims 18-24 are dependent claims and have allowed claim 16 as a base claim. Each of the dependent claims is directed to aspects of the invention disclosed in the specification and shown in the drawings. Further, since the claims are dependent from an allowable base claim, each of the claims should also be found allowable.

Serial No. 10/026,613

H-931-03

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks,
reconsideration and reexamination are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



John R. Mattingly
Registration No. 30,293
Attorney for Applicants

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR
1800 Diagonal Rd., Suite 370
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 684-1120
Date: November 18, 2003